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PLANNING FOR COMMUNITY FACILITIES

Providing community facilities to meet the needs of residents is an important function of local government. The needs are varied and diverse. They range from the need for safe drinking water, to a need for open space for passive recreation. Decisions on community facilities are key development decisions for any town, requiring careful analysis of competing and conflicting proposals.

The Community Facilities Plan is a tool to make the best decisions. It establishes a general order for proposals for community facilities and helps balance competing pressures in any town. A Community Facilities Plan is a systematic review of proposals and ties together proposals in terms of timing, location and financing.

Middletown has modified the capital improvements procedure authorized by state law. In Middletown, municipal agencies file proposals with the Common Council prior to encumbering funds. The Planning and Zoning Commission analyzes proposals to assure compliance with the Plan of Development. The Commission objectively evaluates all projects, relating individual proposals to a comprehensive framework of community facility needs.

The Community Facilities Plan impacts the delivery of government services to Middletown residents in many ways:

1. It can save money for taxpayers by facilitating cooperation between City departments. As an example, sewer lines should be constructed along with road improvements.

2. A Community Facilities Plan can do much to influence and stimulate private investment. The extensive investments in Middletown's Central Business District encourages private development there.
3. It provides Citizens with information about local government needs and spending. It indicates to property owners what facilities will be constructed in their neighborhood. The document promotes citizen participation since it is the only time the public will have an opportunity to communicate to planners and councilman their views on community facilities.

4. The Community Facilities Plan is the foundation for annual budgeting and other important financial decisions made by local government. It tells approximately how much money will be needed for capital facilities in the future. By proper scheduling of projects, there can be the maximum use of current revenues in meeting community facility needs.

5. A community facilities plan is required by many banks in approving bonds and financially rating municipal government. In addition, a community facilities plan can help towns take advantage of federal grants and loans, reducing the burdens on local taxpayers.

THE COMMUNITY FACILITIES PROCESS

1. Definition of Community Facilities.

A community facility is a broad term describing a variety of local improvements. Middletown's City ordinance defines it as "any major improvement or betterment of a non recurring nature to the physical plant of the municipality, as differentiated from ordinary repairs/maintenance of a recurring nature. Items within the scope of the capital non recurring fund are not regarded as capital improvements."

Specific examples are:

a. buildings that house administrative, educational, cultural, health, safety and service needs;

b. public works and utilities to provide power, heat, light, communications, sewage treatment, flood control, solid waste and transportation.
c. public land acquisition to accommodate buildings and public works facilities and to provide for parks, playgrounds, malls, landscaping and beautification.

Thus, community facilities are those facilities that provide a long period of usefulness and service to Middletown. They serve all or a major portion of the City. Note that expensive or durable equipment (such as a computer or construction devices) meet these criteria.

2. Annual Review

   The Community Facilities Plan is updated annually:

   During December → Agencies begin preparing capital improvement proposals, and updating previously submitted proposals

   During January → Planning and Zoning Commission analyses prior and current year financial data

   By February 1 → Revised proposals and new proposals for capital improvements submitted to the Planning and Zoning Commission

   During February and March → Analysis of capital improvement proposals by the Planning and Zoning Commission

   At first April meeting → Public hearing on CIP

   During April → Evaluation of input and modification

   At first May meeting → Adoption of CIP by Planning and Zoning; forwarded to Common Council
3. Financial Information

The Community Facilities Plan compiles 1978 proposals for capital improvements along with other information necessary to make the best decisions about future spending. This other information is data about the cost of operating Middletown's municipal government. Information in the financial tables was derived from annual audit reports, filed in the Town Clerk's Office. Data is for the uniform fiscal years. The Water and Sewer Department is in the process of converting to the uniform fiscal year, from the calendar year. Information on Water and Sewer finances will be included in next years Community Facilities Plan.

Table 1 (page 10) has expenditures and population for 1973-1977, along with the percent change. For this period, per capital costs of City Government increased 13.2 percent. If schools are included in this figure the per capital increase is greater, 22.1 percent. Note however that per student expenses have increased by 40 percent. City expenses however, have gone up by 30 percent. The cost increase for Schools is comparable to the cost increase for City expenses.

Table 2 (page 11) shows municipal revenue for 1973-1977. Income is from taxes and indirect revenues. General City taxation (the property tax) pays for eight functions of city government. These are general government (Mayor's Office, Department of Finance, Town Clerk, City Attorney, Board of Control, Planning and Zoning), police protection, public works, welfare, parks and recreation, education, debt service and sundry municipal services (Senior Affairs Center, Middletown Parking Arcade, Commission on the Arts and Cultural Activities).

Another significant source of revenue is federal and state grants to the City (intergovernmental revenue). The increase in intergovernmental revenues and taxation is comparable. The increase in intergovernmental finances was 28.7 percent and the increase in taxes was 27.8 percent.
This is behind, however the increase of 32.2 percent in the cost of running Middletown's City Government.

Revenues come from a tax on all personal and real property. The ratio of total assessed value to total estimated actual value is 70 percent.

Table 3 (page 12) show financial information about the three fire districts. Expenses for fire protection are met from a tax on property within each district.

Table 4 (page 13) has financial information on the Parking Authority, the Sanitary Disposal District, and the Special Parking District.

Table 5 (page 14) shows a straight line projection of cost of Middletown city government for 1978-1982. It assumes the 1972-1977 rate of increase.

GROUP ALLOCATION AND ANALYSIS

The most important feature of the Community Facilities Plan is the analysis and recommendation by the Planning and Zoning Commission. Proposals are reviewed from the perspective of the needs of the entire community. The PZC is a neutral forum, with no duty to a special group.

Group allocations of individual Community Facility Proposals is the basis for analysis. The four groups are:

GROUP 1: UNDER DEVELOPMENT
a. capital improvements already in progress, or approved by formal vote;
b. capital improvements mandated by State and Federal jurisdictions.
GROUP 2: MAINTENANCE

a. capital improvements which eliminate a proven or obnoxious hazard;
b. capital improvements which replace an obsolete facility, or maintain and better utilize an existing asset;
c. capital improvements which reduce operating costs, and would result in better service to Middletown.

GROUP 3: MODIFICATION OF EXISTING FACILITIES

a. capital improvements required by community growth to prevent significant reduction in the level of services;
b. capital improvements which eliminate a potential hazard to health and safety, or eliminate nuisance conditions.

GROUP 4: OTHER MUNICIPAL PROJECTS

a. capital improvements which provide a new facility or asset to the community, or improve an existing standard of service;
b. capital improvements which have social, historic, ethnic or esthetic value;
c. capital improvements which increase public convenience or comfort;
d. capital improvements which benefit Middletown's economic base by increasing property values.

Appendix A (page 73) summarizes group allocation of 1978 Community Facilities Proposals.

The $55.9 million estimated cost for the community facilities underlies the need for priorities for development. The 1978 cost for community facilities increased 17.9 percent over the 1977 cost. In contrast, revenue for this period increased by 10.9 percent. To meet Middletown's needs, out of its limited income, community facilities must be planned. The 1978 proposals are in a variety of stages. Of the sixty projects:

- some are nearly completed:
  Examples are the Connecticut River Interceptor, or Denison Road Park Development,

- some are in initial study phases:
  Examples are the Filter plant at Mount Higby, and Russell Library renovation;

- some are in preliminary conceptual stages:
  Examples are the Cultural Center, and Aerial Mapping.
Twenty five projects require key development decision (see Index). Twelve of the project thus far have no specific locations. These are: Aerial Mapping of Middletown, City Boundary Monumentation, Coordinate Network System, a Cultural Center, Golf Course, the Handicapped Center, the Music Shell, Annual Road Maintenance, School Renovations, Sewer Facilities Plan, Storm Drainage Master Plans, and the Youth Center. Of these, the ultimate location of six will significantly impact neighborhoods and community development. The six are the Cultural Center, Music Shell a Golf Course, a Handicapped Center, a Youth Center, and School Renovations.

The 1978 Community Facilities Proposals can be organized into four substantive groups. There are 19 recreation proposals. They range from installation of tennis courts, to erosion control at Pikes Ravine, to development of a municipal golf course. Some of the projects only involve a small amount of money. Collectively they dramatize a need for a recreation plan in Middletown. The Plan of Development supports the concepts of increased recreational facilities coordinated with the land use plan.

The last comprehensive recreation study for Middletown was done in 1964 (the Allen Report). The Park and Recreation Dept. along with the Planning and Zoning Department should review this plan to determine if any of its proposals are relevant to today's needs.

There are four proposals for cultural and educational facilities. Plans for these facilities must take into consideration any recommendations made by the Board of Education to close schools because of declining enrollment. Schools could be adopted for other community uses, yet still be available for education if needed.

There are twenty-two infrastructure proposals. Infrastructure proposals are water and sanitary systems and highway and secondary roads. These community facilities are health and safety proposals. They shape Middletown's growth by influencing new residential, commercial, and industrial development. Most of the infrastructure proposals were under development when the Community Facilities process was adopted, in 1976.
Future proposals (such as where to extend sewer and water lines) must be submitted to the PZC in preliminary stages for analysis.

Finally the miscellaneous category has twelve projects. They range from proposals for significant studies (Aerial Mapping, Coordinated Network System,) to proposals for traditional community facilities (North End Parking, Handicapped Center).

Recommendations for the process
This is the third year the community facilities process has been operational. Each year it has seen a steady improvement in the quality of participation. However, the system should be refined further to achieve maximum effectiveness in the Common Council's decision making.

There are two weak areas. The first is the filing of incomplete proposals by agency heads. Cost is the most frequently omitted information. The second is that often the appropriate agency does not file proposals making proposal information second hand. These weak areas must be minimized. This can be done by greater reference by the Common Council to the document in its deliberations on community facilities. Council members in their capacity as members of municipal agencies can also influence department and agency heads to file complete proposals. Before a community facilities plan with a definite time frame can be adopted, more complete and accurate data must be submitted for each proposal.

Two steps should be taken to improve the effectiveness of the community facilities process in Middletown. The first is a procedural recommendation. Prior to appropriating funds for community facilities, the Common Council should request that the Planning and Zoning Department certify whether or
not a project is a part of Middletown's official Community Facilities Plan. If the project is not a part of the Plan, a proposal must be submitted before funds are appropriated. This change only requires that agency heads operate within the established legal framework.

The second change has a broader implication. Community facilities planning would be improved if the Planning and Zoning Department actively participated in decisions on all such structures. It should be involved in every decision impacting the use, reuse, construction, and demolition of a community facility. Its participation would bring the perspective of community-wide needs to specific substantive areas.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35,600¹</td>
<td>35,600¹</td>
<td>35,900¹</td>
<td>36,100¹</td>
<td>36,500¹</td>
<td>36,500¹</td>
<td>+2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public School Enrollment</td>
<td>6,528</td>
<td>6,428</td>
<td>6,475</td>
<td>6,217</td>
<td>6,213</td>
<td>-4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools Costs per Pupil</td>
<td>$1,112</td>
<td>$1,261</td>
<td>$1,388</td>
<td>$1,508</td>
<td>$1,565</td>
<td>+40.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Costs per Capita Excluding Schools</td>
<td>$174</td>
<td>$179</td>
<td>$195</td>
<td>$202</td>
<td>$197</td>
<td>+13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Costs per Capita (Including Schools)</td>
<td>$379</td>
<td>$406</td>
<td>$445</td>
<td>$461</td>
<td>$463</td>
<td>+22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund Expenses (Excluding schools, Debt Service, Capital Outlay)</td>
<td>$5,525,906</td>
<td>$5,611,550</td>
<td>$6,201,228</td>
<td>$6,492,452</td>
<td>$7,184,232</td>
<td>+30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools</td>
<td>$7,265,524</td>
<td>$8,105,777</td>
<td>$8,987,977</td>
<td>$9,379,029</td>
<td>$9,729,467</td>
<td>+33.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$12,791,430</td>
<td>$13,717,327</td>
<td>$15,189,205</td>
<td>$15,871,481</td>
<td>$16,913,699</td>
<td>+32.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. State Health Department Estimates  2. Planning and Zoning Estimates
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### TABLE 2
MUNICIPAL REVENUE
1973 - 1977

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxes</td>
<td>$10,873,220</td>
<td>$10,659,423</td>
<td>$12,427,082</td>
<td>$12,399,439</td>
<td>$13,897,548</td>
<td>+27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intergovernmental Revenue</td>
<td>3,479,499</td>
<td>3,073,511</td>
<td>4,172,224</td>
<td>4,413,568</td>
<td>4,482,393</td>
<td>+28.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees</td>
<td>255,771</td>
<td>231,710</td>
<td>300,785</td>
<td>211,763</td>
<td>92,087</td>
<td>-63.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Charges</td>
<td>247,513</td>
<td>114,655</td>
<td>80,329</td>
<td>73,585</td>
<td>223,666</td>
<td>-9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>862,906</td>
<td>2,070,990</td>
<td>1,487,173</td>
<td>1,242,663</td>
<td>1,646,632</td>
<td>+90.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$15,718,909</td>
<td>$16,150,289</td>
<td>$18,467,593</td>
<td>$18,341,018</td>
<td>$20,342,326</td>
<td>+29.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TAX RATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mill Rate</th>
<th>46.5</th>
<th>51.1</th>
<th>51.1</th>
<th>55.4</th>
<th>55.4*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grand List¹</td>
<td>$235,178</td>
<td>$246,997</td>
<td>$249,357</td>
<td>$254,748</td>
<td>$527,600*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand List Per Capita</td>
<td>$6,614</td>
<td>$6,938</td>
<td>$6,946</td>
<td>$7,957²</td>
<td>$7,112²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. 000's omitted  
2. Based on State Health Dept. Estimates  
3. Based on Planning and Zoning Dept. Estimates  
* Estimates, March 1978
TABLE 3
FINANCIAL INFORMATION ABOUT MIDDLETOWN
THREE FIRE DISTRICTS

I. REVENUES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City Fire District</td>
<td>688,298</td>
<td>752,518</td>
<td>834,576</td>
<td>838,717</td>
<td>881,662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Fire District</td>
<td>241,956</td>
<td>253,136</td>
<td>270,743</td>
<td>271,696</td>
<td>265,714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westfield Fire District*</td>
<td>51,414</td>
<td>81,793</td>
<td>499,377</td>
<td>.91,415</td>
<td>78,134</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1975 includes principal from sale of Firehouse Construction bonds

II. EXPENDITURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City Fire District</td>
<td>685,239</td>
<td>755,329</td>
<td>761,101</td>
<td>772,530</td>
<td>832,775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Fire District</td>
<td>245,077</td>
<td>272,939</td>
<td>243,649</td>
<td>254,270</td>
<td>248,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westfield District*</td>
<td>41,830</td>
<td>75,424</td>
<td>371,089</td>
<td>178,119</td>
<td>116,562</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*1974 -76 includes construction costs.

III. MILL RATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City Fire District</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Fire District</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westfield Fire District*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. For 18 month period due to change in fiscal year
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REVENUES</td>
<td>104,655</td>
<td>103,817</td>
<td>114,914</td>
<td>119,368</td>
<td>105,277</td>
<td>+ 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXPENDITURES</td>
<td>86,199</td>
<td>98,749</td>
<td>88,723</td>
<td>94,132</td>
<td>97,939</td>
<td>+ 13.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REVENUES</td>
<td>183,740</td>
<td>201,992</td>
<td>232,779</td>
<td>230,041</td>
<td>244,573</td>
<td>+ 33.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXPENDITURES</td>
<td>182,773</td>
<td>204,153</td>
<td>216,993</td>
<td>228,528</td>
<td>239,171</td>
<td>+ 30.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III SPECIAL PARKING DISTRICT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1977</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REVENUE</td>
<td>35,151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXPENDITURES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 5

**Projected Increases in General Government Expenditures and Revenues**

1978 - 1982

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EXPENDITURES</td>
<td>18,247,644</td>
<td>19,342,502</td>
<td>20,503,052</td>
<td>21,733,235</td>
<td>23,037,229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVENUES</td>
<td>21,929,027</td>
<td>23,632,491</td>
<td>25,483,371</td>
<td>27,471,073</td>
<td>29,613,816</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

- Excludes Debt Service
- Expenditures based on the 1972-1977 average increase of 6.6%
- Revenues based on the 1972-1977 average increase of 7.8%
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Aerial Mapping of City</th>
<th>CODE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT:</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>LOCATION: City Wide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESCRIPTION:

To develop a base for updating all City records. Existing maps are obsolete or are non-existent.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: Consultant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>$3,000,000</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEVELOPMENT BY:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APPROPRIATIONS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>EXPENDITURES</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANALYSIS:

This project is important because it would update City records and would be useful in land use planning. The project improves an existing standard of service. Aerial mapping is not a traditional capital improvement but is a technique for better planning of community facilities.
1978
PROPOSED COMMUNITY FACILITIES
MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>City Boundary Monumentation</th>
<th>CODE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT:</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION</td>
<td>All City Boundaries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUP ALLOCATION</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESCRIPTION:
To locate old monuments and replace or add new monuments where required. Existing monuments are broken and spaced so that they are not visible from one to another.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: Consultant and Public Works Department

EST. COST $125,000
SOURCE OF FUNDS Unknown

PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY N/A

EST. COST $N/A
SOURCE OF FUNDS N/A

DEVELOPMENT BY: Consultant

EST. COST $75,000
SOURCE OF FUNDS Consultant

APPROPRIATIONS None
EXPENDITURES None

ANALYSIS:
This project is important so that accurate surveys and land maps can be drawn. An existing standard of service would be improved.
PROPOSED COMMUNITY FACILITIES
MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT

NAME: City Yard Additions for Traffic Paint Shop
CODE #: CF/PWK 1
CENSUS TRACT: 5403 LOCATION: Washington St. GROUP ALLOCATION: 2

DESCRIPTION:
Provide space for Traffic Paint Shop forced to relocate because of Riverfront Development. The current plans are only for a shell roof and a foundation.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: Public Works Department

EST. COST $ Unknown SOURCE OF FUNDS: Unknown
PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY: N/A

EST. COST $ N/A SOURCE OF FUNDS: Unknown
DEVELOPMENT BY: Public Works Department

EST. COST $ 60,000 SOURCE OF FUNDS: Unknown

APPROPRIATIONS: None EXPENDITURES: None

ANALYSIS:
This project is to relocate the Traffic Paint Shop whose former site will be used for Riverfront Improvement. The prior location thus is an obsolete facility for the purposes of the Traffic Paint Shop. The project should be coordinated with the security fencing improvements (see Public Works Storage and Maintenance Yard CF/PWK 1a)
1978
PROPOSED COMMUNITY FACILITIES
MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>CODE # CF/SWR 14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coginchaug Interceptor Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT: 5403</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION: Middlefield St &amp; Beverly Hgts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUP ALLOCATION: 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESCRIPTION:
To alleviate pollution in Coginchaug and provide service to industrial and residential development. The project is part of the $19 million water pollution program.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: Water and Sewer Consultants (Cahn Engineers)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$175,000</td>
<td>Bond/Grant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY: Water and Sewer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$40,000 (est)</td>
<td>Bond</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DEVELOPMENT BY: Water & Sewer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
<th>Bond/grant: Federal and State 90%: Local 10%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2 Million</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APPROPRIATIONS: None

EXPENDITURES: None

ANALYSIS:
Funds have been committed.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Combined Sewer Overflow Correction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CODE</td>
<td>UF/SWR 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT:</td>
<td>5401, 5407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION</td>
<td>Inner Core City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUP ALLOCATION:</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DESCRIPTION:**

To separate combined sewers and prevent pollution of Conn. River.

**PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY:** Water and Sewer/Consultants (Cahn Engineers)

**EST. COST** $950,000

**SOURCE OF FUNDS** General Sewer Funds/Bond

**PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY:** N/A

**EST. COST** $N/A

**SOURCE OF FUNDS** N/A

**DEVELOPMENT BY:** Water and Sewer/Contractors

**EST. COST** $24 Million

**SOURCE OF FUNDS** General Sewer Funds/Bond

**APPROPRIATIONS** None

**EXPENDITURES** None

**ANALYSIS:**

This project is mandated by Federal Law.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME Coordinate Network System</th>
<th>CODE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT: None</td>
<td>LOCATION: City Wide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESCRIPTION:
To assign to major intersections a set of coordinates so that all property corners within the block may then be assigned its base coordinates.

To relate all points in the City to the State and Federal coordinate system.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: Consultants

EST. COST $500,000 SOURCE OF FUNDS Unknown
PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY N/A

EST. COST $ N/A SOURCE OF FUNDS N/A
DEVELOPMENT BY: N/A

EST. COST $ N/A SOURCE OF FUNDS N/A

APPROPRIATIONS None EXPENDITURES None

ANALYSIS:
This project should be done when ground control is set for aerial mapping.

As Middletown's growth continues, it becomes more important to relate local positions to federal and state positions. This proposal is not a traditional capital improvement but is a technique which would improve planning for all community facilities.
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NAME Conn. River Interceptor

CENSUS TRACT: 5401
LOCATION N. Main St.
GROUP ALLOCATION: 1

CODE #CF/SWR 14

DESCRIPTION:

This project is part of the $19 Million water pollution abatement program. Only the North Main section is uncompleted and will be finished by Summer, 1978.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: Consultants Water and Sewer (consultants Cahn engineers)

EST. COST $150,379 SOURCE OF FUNDS Bond/Grant

PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY Water & Sewer

EST. COST $46,553 SOURCE OF FUNDS Bond

DEVELOPMENT BY: Water and Sewer/Contractors

EST. COST $3.8 Million SOURCE OF FUNDS Bond/grant Federal and State 85%; Local 15%

APPROPRIATIONS $5,040,000 EXPENDITURES $4,734,162

ANALYSIS:

Key development decisions, including financing, have been made.
**PROPOSED COMMUNITY FACILITIES**

**MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Crystal Lake Development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CODE #</td>
<td>CF/REG 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CENSUS TRACT:</th>
<th>LOCATION:</th>
<th>GROUP ALLOCATION:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5409</td>
<td>Livingston Rd.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DESCRIPTION:**
To clean stagnant water and general maintenance of Park. The state has water rights to Crystal Lake, but the City is the only agency that maintains it.
Proposal and diagram in Mayor's Office.

**PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY:** Unknown

**EST. COST** $ None

**SOURCE OF FUNDS** None

**PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY** None

**EST. COST** $ N/A

**SOURCE OF FUNDS** N/A

**DEVELOPMENT BY:** Park and Recreation

**EST. COST** $ None

**SOURCE OF FUNDS** City

**APPROPRIATIONS** 10,000

**EXPENDITURES** None

**ANALYSIS:**
Some funds have been appropriated for improvements at Crystal Lake, but there is still a need for a comprehensive re-evaluation of Crystal Lake and all recreational facilities in Middletown.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Cultural Center</th>
<th>CODE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT:</td>
<td>LOCATION 181 Main St.</td>
<td>GROUP ALLOCATION: 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DESCRIPTION:**

This project would provide space for community exhibits and performing facilities. The current location of the Comm. on the Arts and Cultural Activities, at 181 Main St., is not adequate. There is a need for (a) working space for staff (b) exhibit space, and (c) performing or meeting space for art-oriented facilities.

**PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY:** Com. on Arts & Cultural Activities

**EST. COST $**

**SOURCE OF FUNDS**

**PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY** Unknown

**EST. COST $** Unknown

**SOURCE OF FUNDS** Unknown

**DEVELOPMENT BY:** Unknown

**EST. COST $** Unknown

**SOURCE OF FUNDS** Unknown

**APPROPRIATIONS** None

**EXPENDITURES** None

**ANALYSIS:**

A need exists in Middletown for space for cultural and recreational activities. A Cultural Center would provide new opportunities for local residents consistent with the rising expectations of an educated community. Plans should be coordinated with plans for a Youth and a Handicapped Center. The Board of Education should be aware of the need because the school system is studying the use of school facilities in relation to decreasing enrollment. A school building might be suitable for a Cultural Center.

A Cultural Center would be a permitted use in a residential zone as a public use. A business zone would be a better location because of potential traffic congestion. The best location is in the Central Business District. All public buildings should be located there to bring people and activity downtown.

A Cultural Center would be a new facility in Middletown.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>CODE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DeKoven Drive Extension</td>
<td>CF/PWK 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CENSUS TRACT</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>GROUP ALLOCATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5401</td>
<td>DeKoven Dr.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESCRIPTION:
This project extends DeKoven Drive north, between Washington St. and Rapallo Ave. A part of the loop system, it is important to the vitality of the CBD.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: MDG/Public Works/Consultants (Keyes Assoc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>Federal/State/Local</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY Conn. D.O.T.

EST. COST $Unknown

SOURCE OF FUNDS State

DEVELOPMENT BY: Unknown

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
<th>APPROPRIATIONS</th>
<th>EXPENDITURES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANALYSIS:
Primary development decisions have been made for this project.
1978
PROPOSED COMMUNITY FACILITIES
MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Denison Road Park Development</th>
<th>CODE # CF/REC 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT:</td>
<td>5406</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION</td>
<td>Bow Lane, Denison Rd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUP ALLOCATION</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DESCRIPTION:**
To provide recreational facilities to neighborhood as outlined in study report.

**PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY:** C.A.C./MDG/Park and Recreation

**EST. COST** $4,300
**SOURCE OF FUNDS** City/Bureau of Outdoor Rec.

**PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY** N/A

**EST. COST** $None
**SOURCE OF FUNDS** None

**DEVELOPMENT BY:** MDC/Park and Recreation

**EST. COST** $65,000
**SOURCE OF FUNDS** City/Bureau of Outdoor Rec.

**APPROPRIATIONS** None
**EXPENDITURES** None

**ANALYSIS:**
Funds have been committed. Development is nearly complete.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Downriver Park Development</th>
<th>CODE # CF/REC 52</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT:</td>
<td>5409</td>
<td>LOCATION: River Rd. near South St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GROUP ALLOCATION: 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESCRIPTION:
Provide replacement boat launching facility, picnicking area, shoreline stabilization along 6 acres of River Road. There will be walking and bicycle trails and picnic facilities.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: Harbor Improvement Agency/ C.E. Maguire Assoc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>$12,000</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
<th>USDA/City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY: N/A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

DEVELOPMENT BY: Harbor Improvement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>$150,000</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
<th>USDA/City/Dep. Comm.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

APPROPRIATIONS: None EXPENDITURES: None

ANALYSIS:
Funds have been committed for this project and development is underway.
**1978**
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Elderly Housing</th>
<th>CODE</th>
<th>CF/HOU 8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT</td>
<td>5405</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION</td>
<td>Randolph Rd.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUP ALLOCATION</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DESCRIPTION:**

To construct forty new living units for the elderly. The Housing Authority has a waiting list of over 100 applicants.

**PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY:** Housing Authority

**EST. COST** $12,000 **SOURCE OF FUNDS** DCA

**PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY** Housing Authority

**EST. COST** $Unknown **SOURCE OF FUNDS** Unknown

**DEVELOPMENT BY:** Housing Authority/Contractors

**EST. COST** $640,000 **SOURCE OF FUNDS** DCA

**APPROPRIATIONS** None **EXPENDITURES** None

**ANALYSIS:**

The Housing Authority has been awarded a $640,000 grant from DCA for this project. The Housing says it will cost $122,000 more than the original grant. There is a possibility the City will contribute to the projects costs.
NAME Filter Plant at Mt. Higby

CENSUS TRACT: 5403  LOCATION: Mt. Higby Res.  GROUP ALLOCATION: 1

DESCRIPTION:
This facility would filter water at Mt. Higby. The water supplied during some of the year does not meet drinking water standards for turbidity. Final plans will be ready in March, 1979 and the plant operating by July 1, 1980.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: Water and Sewer Consultants (Cahn Eng.)

EST. COST $225,000  SOURCE OF FUNDS: Water Fund/Bond

PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY: N/A

EST. COST $ N/A  SOURCE OF FUNDS: N/A

DEVELOPMENT BY: Water and Sewer

EST. COST $1.5 - $3 Million  SOURCE OF FUNDS: Unknown

APPROPRIATIONS: None  EXPENDITURES: None

ANALYSIS:
Funds have been committed for study only. Key decisions will have to be made in the future about actual development.
# Proposed Community Facilities

**Location:** Middletown, Connecticut

**Name:** Fire Station Renovation (Main Building)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CENSUS TRACT</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>GROUP ALLOCATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5401</td>
<td>533 Main St</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description:**

Complete renovation and refurbishing of building constructed in 1899, to be completed in the early 1980's. Further documentation on file in Planning Dept.

**Program and Planning By:** Unknown

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Purchase of Land or Right-of-Way By:** None, N/A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Development By:** Unknown

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$500,000-575,000</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Appropriations:** None

**Expenditures:** None

**Analysis:**

The Fire Station is an important community facility in Middletown. It serves other functions besides its primary public safety function. Meetings are held at the station and it is an information center for people unfamiliar to the community. The location is significant because, according to the Chief, "response time of the company housed there within the high value district of the City is minimal." This Fire Station is closest to the north end, an area in the process of rehabilitation. Most of the structures are wood frame and close to one another.

The project would maintain and better utilize an existing asset.
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NAME  Fire Training Center          CODE #

CENSUS TRACT:  LOCATION Cross ST.  GROUP ALLOCATION: 4

DESCRIPTION:
A three story building designed to be used to train Fire Fighters. Currently Middletown personnel must travel 40 miles to use a training facility in Willimantic.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY:  State and City Fire District

EST. COST $ Unknown  SOURCE OF FUNDS State
PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY  Lease from State

EST. COST $ Unknown  SOURCE OF FUNDS State
DEVELOPMENT BY:  State and Fire District

EST. COST $ Unknown  SOURCE OF FUNDS State

APPROPRIATIONS  None  EXPENDITURES  None

ANALYSIS:
The project would provide a new facility to increase the efficiency of Middletown personnel already employed.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Handicapped Center</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUP ALLOCATION</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESCRIPTION:

Provide center for out-patient rehabilitation services and classroom space for multi-handicapped.

Testimony available from M.A.R.C., Kuhn Training Center, Central Conn. Regional Center, Midstate Reg. Comm. on Aging, etc.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: MDA

EST. COST $25,000 SOURCE OF FUNDS Unknown

PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY Unknown

EST. COST $ Unknown SOURCE OF FUNDS Unknown

DEVELOPMENT BY: Unknown

EST. COST $250,000 SOURCE OF FUNDS Unknown

APPROPRIATIONS None EXPENDITURES None

ANALYSIS:

This proposal would be an important community facility by helping all Middletown residents realize their individual potential. It illustrates the general need in the community for space for special purposes. Because of the unique purpose of this facility, all zoning and other code requirements should be researched before any decisions are made.

A Handicapped Center would be an important new facility in Middletown.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>High Street Improvements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CODE</td>
<td>#GF/PBH 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT:</td>
<td>5401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION:</td>
<td>High Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUP ALLOCATION:</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DESCRIPTION:**

To improve the High Street over the railroad tracks by raising the bridge because it does not meet state clearance regulations. There will be some state acquisition of property.

**PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY:** Conn. D.O.T.

**EST. COST** $Unknown **SOURCE OF FUNDS** Unknown

**PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY** Conn. D.O.T.

**EST. COST** $Unknown **SOURCE OF FUNDS** State

**DEVELOPMENT BY:** Conn. D.O.T.

**EST. COST** $630,000 **SOURCE OF FUNDS** $590,000 Federal, $70,000 Local

**APPROPRIATIONS** None **EXPENDITURES** None

**ANALYSIS:**

Funds have been committed.
**PROPOSED COMMUNITY FACILITIES**
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Historical Museum (State)</th>
<th>CODE #</th>
<th>CF/MIS 8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT:</td>
<td>5409</td>
<td>LOCATION:</td>
<td>Off Rt. 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUP ALLOCATION:</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DESCRIPTION:**

To operate a museum of Connecticut history. The current proposal is for a campus style approach with "living exhibits" and demonstrations.

**PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY:** Conn. Historical Society

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>$10,000</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
<th>50% - MDC, 50% EDA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY:** N/A (State Land)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**DEVELOPMENT BY:** Conn. Historical Society

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>$10 Million</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**APPROPRIATIONS** 5,000  
**EXPENDITURES** 5,000

**ANALYSIS:**

While this is a State project, on State land, the City did contribute money towards a study. The museum will bring tourists to Middletown and thus will impact local economic development. Further investment in community facilities—such as road improvement—might be necessary.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Housing Rehabilitation</th>
<th>CODE # CF/HOU 5-7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT:</td>
<td>5405</td>
<td>LOCATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUP ALLOCATION:</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESCRIPTION:

Rehabilitate 76 units. Plans call for insulation, new siding, correction of drainage problems, and new hardware. The State DCA administers the housing projects but they are operated by the Middletown Housing Authority.

See 1976 Housing Assistance Plan for documentation of need.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: Housing Authority

EST. COST $ Unknown SOURCE OF FUNDS Unknown

PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY N/A

EST. COST $ N/A SOURCE OF FUNDS Unknown

DEVELOPMENT BY: Housing Authority

EST. COST $450,000 SOURCE OF FUNDS DCA

APPROPRIATIONS None EXPENDITURES None

ANALYSIS:

This project would maintain an existing community facility.
PROPOSED COMMUNITY FACILITIES
MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT

NAME: Hubbard Property Development
CODE #: GF/RW 9
CENSUS TRACT: 5403
LOCATION: Westfield St.
GROUP ALLOCATION: 2

DESCRIPTION:
This project would correct drainage problems and install tennis courts.
There is a need for additional recreational facilities in the area.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: Park and Recreation

EST. COST: $ N/A
SOURCE OF FUNDS: City Funds
PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY: None

EST. COST: $ None
SOURCE OF FUNDS: None
DEVELOPMENT BY: Park and Recreation

EST. COST: $ Unknown
SOURCE OF FUNDS: Unknown
APPROPRIATIONS: EXPENDITURES

ANALYSIS:
This facility is indicative of the general need for more recreational opportunity in Middletown, particularly for developed space.
The community facility would maintain and better utilize an existing facility.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Kennedy Tract Development</th>
<th>CODE #</th>
<th>CF/REO 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CENSUS TRACT: 5403</td>
<td>LOCATION: Country Club Rd</td>
<td>GROUP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DESCRIPTION:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Develop open space to provide recreation to this growing Census tract.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: MDC/Park and Recreation

EST. COST $10,000 SOURCE OF FUNDS: Bond
PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY MDC

EST. COST $90,000 SOURCE OF FUNDS: 50% local, 50% BOR
DEVELOPMENT BY: Park and Recreation

EST. COST $125,000 SOURCE OF FUNDS: Grant
APPROPRIATIONS: 125,000 EXPENDITURES: 100,124

ANALYSIS:

The final payment for this project will be in 1978. The area has been cleaned, $25,000 (from the purchase grant) is available for recreation development.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Long River Village Rehabilitation</th>
<th>CODE #OF/HOU 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT:</td>
<td>5409</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION</td>
<td>Silver Street</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUP ALLOCATION:</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESCRIPTION:

To rehabilitate the 190 units at Long River Village. Plans are for the replacement of windows, renovation of steam lines, and insulation.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: Housing Authority

EST. COST $Unknown SOURCE OF FUNDS HUD

PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY: N/A

EST. COST $N/A SOURCE OF FUNDS N/A

DEVELOPMENT BY: Contractors

EST. COST $1,500,000 SOURCE OF FUNDS HUD

APPROPRIATIONS $468,200 EXPENDITURES $82,000

ANALYSIS:

Funds have been committed for this project.
PROPOSED COMMUNITY FACILITIES
MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT

NAME: Low Level Collection System
CODE #

CENSUS TRACT: 5407   LOCATION: Main St. Ext.
                     Summer Creek Area
GROUP ALLOCATION: 1

DESCRIPTION:

Eliminate pollution of Summer Creek and Conn. River

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: Water and Sewer Consultants (Cahn Engineers)

EST. COST $70,000   SOURCE OF FUNDS: Bond/Grants

PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY: Water and Sewer

EST. COST $25,000 (Est)   SOURCE OF FUNDS: Bond

DEVELOPMENT BY: Water and Sewer/Contractors

EST. COST $550,000   SOURCE OF FUNDS: Bond/Grants

APPROPRIATIONS: None   EXPENDITURES: None

ANALYSIS:

Funds are expected to be awarded to this project.
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**PROPOSED COMMUNITY FACILITIES**

**MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Main Street Modernization</th>
<th>CODE</th>
<th>GF/FWK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT:</td>
<td>5407-5401</td>
<td>LOCATION</td>
<td>Main Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUP ALLOCATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DESCRIPTION:**

The project focuses on improving the visual impact of Main St.

**PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY:** Main St. Committee/Consultant (C E Meguire Assoc.)

**EST. COST** $550,000

**SOURCE OF FUNDS** EDA

**PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY** None

**EST. COST** $N/A

**SOURCE OF FUNDS** N/A

**DEVELOPMENT BY:** Main St. Committee/Contractor

**EST. COST** $550,000

**SOURCE OF FUNDS** EDA

**APPROPRIATIONS** $609,000

**EXPENDITURES** None

**ANALYSIS:**

Funds have been committed. This project is one of fourteen in the downtown area. Because of Middletown's investment in the CBD, public activities should be encouraged to locate downtown. This would increase the vitality of the area.
1978
PROPOSED COMMUNITY FACILITIES
MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Marsalek Field</th>
<th>CODE # CF/RBC 17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT:</td>
<td>5405</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION:</td>
<td>Middlefield St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUP ALLOCATION:</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESCRIPTION:
Provide a fence, ballfield for volleyball and softball.
A fence is needed because of the danger from proximity to the falls. The park area should be improved because it is the only recreational facility in the area.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: Park and Recreation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>$ Unknown</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
<th>City Funds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY: None

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>$ N/A</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

DEVELOPMENT BY: Park and Recreation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>$ Unknown</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

APPROPRIATIONS | None | EXPENDITURES | None |

ANALYSIS:
The area is lacking in recreational needed by nearby residents. This kind of development is suitable to an environmentally sensitive area.
The project would maintain and better utilize an existing asset.
1978
PROPOSED COMMUNITY FACILITIES
MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT

NAME: Metro South Sewer Installation

CENSUS TRACT: 5407
LOCATION: Central Business District

GROUP ALLOCATION: 1

DESCRIPTION:
The sewer project is a part of urban renewal and will be completed along with that project.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: Redevelopment Agency/Water and Sewer/Consultants (Cahn Engineers)

EST. COST $2,929

SOURCE OF FUNDS

PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY Redevelopment Agency

EST. COST $Unknown

SOURCE OF FUNDS Unknown

DEVELOPMENT BY:

EST. COST $106,869

SOURCE OF FUNDS

APPROPRIATIONS $53,400

EXPENDITURES $53,400

ANALYSIS:
Funds have been committed.
**PROPOSED COMMUNITY FACILITIES**  
**MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Municipal Building Expansion</th>
<th>CODE #</th>
<th>GF/MIS 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT:</td>
<td>5401</td>
<td>LOCATION:</td>
<td>DeKoven Dr.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DESCRIPTION:**

Provide proper vault storage for vital statistics and Town Clerk's use as required by State law and to provide needed additional office space for City departments.

**PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY:** Municipal Building Committee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>$95,000</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
<th>EDA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EST. COST</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>SOURCE OF FUNDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEVELOPMENT BY</td>
<td>Municipal Building Committee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EST. COST</td>
<td>$749,000</td>
<td>SOURCE OF FUNDS</td>
<td>EDA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPROPRIATIONS</td>
<td>850,000</td>
<td>EXPENDITURES</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ANALYSIS:**

Funds have been committed for this project and it is under development.
**NAME**  Music Shell  

**CENSUS TRACT**  Unknown  

**LOCATION**  Unknown  

**GROUP ALLOCATION**  4

**DESCRIPTION:**

Provide permanent facility for music. No location has been determined.

There is no music facility in Middletown.

**PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY:**  Park and Recreational/Music Com.

**EST. COST**  $N/A  

**SOURCE OF FUNDS**  City Funds

**PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY**  Unknown

**EST. COST**  $Unknown  

**SOURCE OF FUNDS**  Unknown

**DEVELOPMENT BY**  Unknown

**EST. COST**  $Unknown  

**SOURCE OF FUNDS**  Unknown

**APPROPRIATIONS**  None  

**EXPENDITURES**  None

**ANALYSIS:**

The need for a location for musical events is indicative of a need for more space for cultural and artistic activities in Middletown. Before any significant decisions are made the need should be more strongly documented. The project should be coordinated with the cultural center.

The project would provide a new facility in Middletown.
1978
PROPOSED COMMUNITY FACILITIES
MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>North End Parking Lots</th>
<th>CODE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT:</td>
<td>5401</td>
<td>LOCATION: To be Determined</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESCRIPTION:
Additional parking in the northern part of the Central Business District is one feature in Middletown's program for downtown improvement. The 1971 Parking Program for the Downtown Business District makes specific recommendations for improvement. Proposals south of Washington St. have been implemented, but decisions still must be made on recommendations north of Washington St.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: MDC/Planning and Zoning/Parking Authority

EST. COST $ _______ SOURCE OF FUNDS _______

PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY _______

EST. COST $ _______ SOURCE OF FUNDS _______

DEVELOPMENT BY: Contractors/ MDC

EST. COST $ 850,000 SOURCE OF FUNDS Special Parking District Tax Bonding Block grants

APPROPRIATIONS None EXPENDITURES None

ANALYSIS:
Considerable public investment is designed to improve the North End of Main Street; DeKoven Drive Ext.; neighborhood rehabilitation under Community Development and Main Street Modernization. Additional parking is a key element in the viability of the area. Any proposals must be in line with the PZC policy calling for unique treatment of the three sections of the CBD, in order to enhance their individual qualities.
Palmer Field is the location of local, state and regional events which bring thousands of visitors to Middletown.

A plan should be developed for the maintenance and better utilization of Palmer Field. It should be a key feature in Middletown's comprehensive approach to planning. Development must take into consideration the fact that the area is within the flood plain.
1978
PROPOSED COMMUNITY FACILITIES
MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Pameacha Pond Development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CODE #</td>
<td>CF/REO 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT:</td>
<td>5405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION:</td>
<td>Pameacha Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUP ALLOCATION:</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESCRIPTION:
Development of area as recreational park.
The dam was declared unsafe in the 1960's.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: Park and Recreation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>$ N/A</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
<th>City Funds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY: None

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>$ N/A</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

DEVELOPMENT BY: Park and Recreational

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>$ Unknown</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

APPROPRIATIONS: None

EXPENDITURES: None

ANALYSIS:
This project would eliminate a hazard, as well as better utilize an existing asset. It is indicative of the general need for increased recreational amenities in Middletown. The Plan of Development recommends recreational use for the area.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Pat Kidney Field Development</th>
<th>CODE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT:</td>
<td>5406</td>
<td>CF/REC 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION:</td>
<td>128 Farm Rd.</td>
<td>GROUP ALLOCATION: 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DESCRIPTION:**

Drain the field, provide a new soccer field, new lights, bleachers.

The field must be maintained and improved to be used by the Park and Recreation Dept. If the land is not used for Park and Recreation purposes it reverts to the heirs.

**PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY:** Park and Recreation

**EST. COST** $ N/A  
**SOURCE OF FUNDS** City

**PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY** None

**EST. COST** $ N/A  
**SOURCE OF FUNDS** N/A

**DEVELOPMENT BY:** Park and Recreation

**EST. COST** $ Unknown  
**SOURCE OF FUNDS** Unknown

**APPROPRIATIONS** None  
**EXPENDITURES** None

**ANALYSIS:**

The project would maintain an existing asset. It points out the need for increased recreational amenities in Middletown.
**1978**

**PROPOSED COMMUNITY FACILITIES**

**MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Code # CF/REC 23</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pikes Ravine Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CENSUS TRACT:</th>
<th>LOCATION:</th>
<th>GROUP ALLOCATION:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5404</td>
<td>Beach St.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DESCRIPTION:**

Clean and drain pond landscaping to prevent erosion.

The skating area is now $1/3$ of its former size.

**PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY:** Park and Recreation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$N/A</td>
<td>City Funds</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY:** None

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DEVELOPMENT BY:** Park and Recreation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**APPROPRIATIONS** None

**EXPENDITURES** None

**ANALYSIS:**

This project would maintain an existing asset.
**PROPOSED COMMUNITY FACILITIES**

**MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Public Works Annex</th>
<th>CODE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT:</td>
<td>5401</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION</td>
<td>Rear of Common Plias Ct. Bldg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUP ALLOCATION:</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DESCRIPTION:**
To create space for engineering staff which is currently working under crowded conditions.

**PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY:** Public Works/Consultant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>$10,000</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
<th>Bond</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY:** None

**DEVELOPMENT BY:** Contractor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>$90,000</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
<th>Bond</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**APPROPRIATIONS** None

**EXPENDITURES** None

**ANALYSIS:**
Improvements to working conditions in the engineering offices of the Public Works Dept. will improve the existing standard of service.
1978
PROPOSED COMMUNITY FACILITIES
MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Public Works Storage and Maintenance Yard</th>
<th>CODE #</th>
<th>CF/PWK/1a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT:</td>
<td>5403</td>
<td>LOCATION: Washington St.</td>
<td>GROUP ALLOCATION:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESCRIPTION:
To provide storage for all City vehicles and security for same (i.e. lights and fencing)
Garage space for all vehicles is unavailable at present time.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: Public Works

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>$500,000</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EST. COST</td>
<td>$N/A</td>
<td>SOURCE OF FUNDS</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEVELOPMENT BY: Contractors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EST. COST</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>SOURCE OF FUNDS</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPROPRIATIONS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>EXPENDITURES</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANALYSIS:
This project would maintain and better utilize and existing asset. It should be coordinated with City Yard Additions for the Traffic Paint Shop (CF/PWK/1a)
1978
PROPOSED COMMUNITY FACILITIES
MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Renovate Schools to meet Mandated Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CODE #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT:</td>
<td>LOCATION All City Schools GROUP ALLOCATION: 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESCRIPTION:

The Building Committee for City Schools Review was established in 1977 to review City-owned school buildings to determine their status in relationship to their being made barrier free for handicapped persons, to study possible energy conservation measures and to conduct a structural survey of the buildings." No specific proposals have been made.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY:

EST. COST $________________________ SOURCE OF FUNDS________________________

PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY ________________________________

EST. COST $________________________ SOURCE OF FUNDS________________________

DEVELOPMENT BY: ________________________________

EST. COST $________________________ SOURCE OF FUNDS________________________

APPROPRIATIONS ____________________________ EXPENDITURES ________________________

ANALYSIS:

Since federal and state laws on the handicapped and energy mandate structural changes no discretion is left to communities. The Chairman of the Committee indicates there is a possibility that schools might be closed because they would be too costly to renovate to meet the standards. Major decisions on school facilities must take into consideration their impact on all community facilities.
**NAME** Riverfront Improvement Phase I

**CENSUS TRACT:** 5407  
**LOCATION:** Riverfront  
**GROUP ALLOCATION:** 1

**DESCRIPTION:**
This project would stabilize the shoreline. It is an economic tie-in with Metro South and will preserve natural resources. The project was to be completed in April, but there has been a three month extension.

**PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY:** HIA/Consultants

**EST. COST** $152,000  
**SOURCE OF FUNDS:** Grant

**PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY:** None

**EST. COST** $N/A  
**SOURCE OF FUNDS** N/A

**DEVELOPMENT BY:** Contractors

**EST. COST** $1.1 Million  
**SOURCE OF FUNDS** 40% local, 60% EDA

**APPROPRIATIONS** $1,250.00  
**EXPENDITURES** $841,125

**ANALYSIS:**
Funds have been committed and development is nearly complete.
1978
PROPOSED COMMUNITY FACILITIES
MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Riverfront Improvement Phase II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CODE</td>
<td>#3F/REC 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT</td>
<td>5407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION</td>
<td>Water St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUP ALLOCATIONS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESCRIPTION:

The project continues Phase II of Riverfront Improvement. It includes the construction of pavilions and restrooms, installation of picnic tables and playground equipment, and construction of a boathouse.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: Harbor Improvement/Consultant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>$32,500</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
<th>E.D.A.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EST. COST</td>
<td>$N/A</td>
<td>SOURCE OF FUNDS</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEVELOPMENT BY</td>
<td>Harbor Improvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EST. COST</td>
<td>$254,800</td>
<td>SOURCE OF FUNDS</td>
<td>E.D.A.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APPROPRIATIONS: None
EXPENDITURES: None

ANALYSIS:

Funds have been committed for this project. Development is underway.
1978
PROPOSED COMMUNITY FACILITIES
MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>River Road Reconstruction</th>
<th>CODE #</th>
<th>CF/FWK 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT</td>
<td>5409</td>
<td>LOCATION</td>
<td>River Rd. to Summer Crk. to P &amp; W Aircraft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUP ALLOCATION</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESCRIPTION:
To provide secondary access to Maromas Industrial Area in order to service the major taxpayers of the City.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: Public Works/Consultant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$450,000</td>
<td>Bond</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY Consultant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>Bond</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DEVELOPMENT BY: Contractor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2,400,000</td>
<td>Bond</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APPROPRIATIONS | None | EXPENDITURES | None

ANALYSIS:
The impact of heavy industrial traffic on a road leading to one Connecticut's important recreation/conservation area is questionable. This project is required by growth in the industrial area to prevent significant reduction in the level of services.
1978
PROPOSED COMMUNITY FACILITIES
MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT

NAME: Road Improvement Bond (Passed 1974 referendum)  CODE: # CF/PWK 2
CENSUS TRACT: Throughout City  LOCATION: Various Sts. through Community

DESCRIPTION:
To construct, widen, and pave the following streets:
Arbutus St., Arnold St., Atkins St., Bartholomew Rd.,
Belvidere Terrace, Carlil Rd., Coleman Rd., Congdon St.,
Country Club Rd., Crescent St., Dewey St., E. Main St.,
George St., Greenlawn Rd., High St., Hobson St.,
Laurel Grove Rd., Main St. Ext., Margarite Rd., Minor St.,
Navadon Parkway, Paddock Rd., Phedon Parkway, Poplar Rd.,
Ridge Rd., Wilcox Rd.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: Public Works

EST. COST: $ None  SOURCE OF FUNDS: Public Works
PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY: Public Works

EST. COST: $ 20,000  SOURCE OF FUNDS: Public Works

DEVELOPMENT BY: Public Works/ Contractor

EST. COST: $ 2,900,000  SOURCE OF FUNDS: Public Works

APPROPRIATIONS: $ 2,935,600  EXPENDITURES: $ 1,458,134

ANALYSIS:
Funds were committed. The following streets have been completed
(12/12/77): Arbutus St., Bartholomew Rd., Boston Rd., Chauncey Rd.,
George St., Laurel Grove Rd., Margarite Rd., Paddock Rd., Poplar Rd.,
Wilcox Rd.
1978
PROPOSED COMMUNITY FACILITIES
MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>1978-1983 Road Improvement Program</th>
<th>CODE #CP/PWK 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT:</td>
<td>LOCATION Throughout the City</td>
<td>GROUP ALLOCATION: 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESCRIPTION:
To reconstruct, widen, install pavement, and make drainage provisions for particular City streets. This project would continue street improvements begun under the 1974 Road Bond. The streets included are: Brooks Rd., Training Hill Rd., Brush Hill Road, Cedar Lane, Reservoir Rd., Sawmill Rd., Bradley St., Eastern Dr., Beverly Heights, Annette Place, Lucinda Lane, Prout Hill Rd., Sunnyslope Dr., Saybrook Rd., Walnut St., DeJohn Dr., Durwin St., Fountain Ave., Warren St., Knowles Ave., Vine St., Lawn Ave., Lincoln St., Liberty St., Rogers Rd., Schaefer Rd.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: Public Works

EST. COST $50,000 SOURCE OF FUNDS Bond
PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY Public Works

EST. COST $100,000 SOURCE OF FUNDS Bond
DEVELOPMENT BY: Contractor

EST. COST $4,350,000 SOURCE OF FUNDS Bond

APPROPRIATIONS None EXPENDITURES None

ANALYSIS:
The project would eliminate potentially hazardous road conditions.
1978
PROPOSED COMMUNITY FACILITIES
MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Road Maintenance Program (Annual)</th>
<th>CODE #  CF/PWK 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT:</td>
<td>all nine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION:</td>
<td>City Wide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUP ALLOCATION:</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESCRIPTION:

Maintenance of all City streets on a continuing basis.
To provide the required maintenance of highways and
apparatenances as needed. Every 12-15 years pavement,
drainage structures, curbing and sidewalks must be
routinely replaced.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY:  Public Works

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>$ None</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
<th>General Fund</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EST. COST</td>
<td>$ N/A</td>
<td>SOURCE OF FUNDS</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEVELOPMENT BY:</td>
<td>Contractor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EST. COST</td>
<td>$ 6-700,000</td>
<td>SOURCE OF FUNDS</td>
<td>General Funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPROPRIATIONS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXPENDITURES</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANALYSIS:

This type of expenditure is important in programming community
facilities since proper scheduling will preclude extensive ex-
penditures in the future. The project would eliminate hazardous
road conditions.
PROPOSED COMMUNITY FACILITIES
MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT

NAME: Russell Library Main Building Renovations
CODE: #CF/MIS 1

CENSUS TRACT: 5407
LOCATION: Broad St.
GROUP ALLOCATION: 2

DESCRIPTION:
1) Renovation of main building, 2) addition to bring space up to minimum State standards; 3) addition for adequate service (1985-2000 standards)

See Mayor's Survey Committee report 1974.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: Board of Trustees Russell Library (Consultants Hardy, Holzman, Pfeiffer Assoc.)

EST. COST: $25,000
SOURCE OF FUNDS: General fund
PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY: City

DEVELOPMENT BY: Unknown

EST. COST: Unknown
SOURCE OF FUNDS: Unknown

APPROPRIATIONS: 25,000
EXPENDITURES: None

ANALYSIS:
The need for renovations has been documented since 1974. Key financial decisions will be made when the consultants report is completed. The project include the concept of a connection to the Russell Library. If these major renovations are not done, then the 1977 proposal, to renovate exterior woodwork and windows, must be approved by the Common Council.

A library is an essential feature in a high quality of life in any community. The Russell Library is situated close to the Central Business District and thus can be a factor in the vitality of Middletown's CBD.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Sanitary Sewers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CODE</td>
<td>CF/SWR 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT</td>
<td>5409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION</td>
<td>Prout Hill Rd. Sunnyslope Dr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUP ALLOCATION</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESCRIPTION:
To provide sanitary sewers in above streets and abate pollution problems.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: Sewer Dept.
EST. COST $1500. SOURCE OF FUNDS Sewer Dept.
PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY N/A
EST. COST $N/A SOURCE OF FUNDS N/A
DEVELOPMENT BY: Contractor
EST. COST $140,000 SOURCE OF FUNDS Sewer Dept.
APPROPRIATIONS None EXPENDITURES None

ANALYSIS:
This project would eliminate potentially hazardous conditions. It will be done simultaneously with repaving of the roads.
PROPOSED COMMUNITY FACILITIES
MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT

NAME: Senior Center–Wheel Chair Ramp

CENSUS TRACT: 5407
LOCATION: 150 William St.
GROUP ALLOCATION: 2

DESCRIPTION:
Enable seniors in wheelchairs to enter the center in bad weather.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: Not established

EST. COST $ Unknown
SOURCE OF FUNDS Unknown

PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY None

DEVELOPMENT BY: Senior Center

EST. COST $ N/A
SOURCE OF FUNDS N/A

APPROPRIATIONS None
EXPENDITURES None

ANALYSIS:
This proposal would increase access to the building. It would maintain and better utilize an existing asset.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Sewer Extension</th>
<th>CODE #</th>
<th>CF/SWR 14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT:</td>
<td>5407</td>
<td>GROUP</td>
<td>Allocation 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION:</td>
<td>Allison St.</td>
<td>ALLOCATION:</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESCRIPTION:
This connection is required because of drainage problems caused by Route 9.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: Water and Sewer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST $</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EST. COST $</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>SOURCE OF FUNDS</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEVELOPMENT BY:</td>
<td>Water &amp; Sewer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EST. COST $</td>
<td>24,000</td>
<td>SOURCE OF FUNDS</td>
<td>Sewer Funds/Grants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPROPRIATIONS $24,000</td>
<td>EXPENDITURES $420,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANALYSIS:
Funds have been committed.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>CODE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sewer Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CENSUS TRACT:</th>
<th>LOCATION:</th>
<th>GROUP ALLOCATION:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5405</td>
<td>Long Hill Rd.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DESCRIPTION:**

To provide sanitary sewer for approximately 15 families.
The line will be extended 1,880 ft.

**PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY:** Sewer Dept.

**EST. COST:** $1,500

**SOURCE OF FUNDS:** Sewer Dept.

**PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY:** N/A

**EST. COST:** N/A

**SOURCE OF FUNDS:** N/A

**DEVELOPMENT BY:** Contract

**EST. COST:** $25,000

**SOURCE OF FUNDS:** Sewer Funds

**APPROPRIATIONS:**

**EXPENDITURES:**

**ANALYSIS:**

Funds have been committed.
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NAME Sewer Facilities Plan
CODE #

CENSUS TRACT: LOCATION: Middletown (Severed Sections) GROUP ALLOCATION: 1

DESCRIPTION:

Evaluate improvements related to existing overflow combined sewers and sewers with excessive flow. The project is part of the federally mandated sewer abatement program.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: Water and Sewer Consultants (Cahn Engineers)

EST. COST $350,000 SOURCE OF FUNDS Bond

PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY N/A

EST. COST N/A SOURCE OF FUNDS N/A

DEVELOPMENT BY: Water and Sewer

EST. COST $200,000 SOURCE OF FUNDS Sewer Fund/Grant

APPROPRIATIONS None EXPENDITURES None

ANALYSIS:

Funds have been committed for study but even greater expenditures are expected for infrastructure improvements.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Storm Drainage Master Plan</th>
<th>CODE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT</td>
<td>LOCATION: City-wide</td>
<td>GROUP ALLOCATION: 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESCRIPTION:

To map drainage basins to be used in future planning and development of the City by all related or concerned departments. The project would study and map drainage basins and make recommendation to handle surface runoff.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: Consultant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY: N/A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DEVELOPMENT BY: N/A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APPROPRIATIONS: None

EXPENDITURES: None

ANALYSIS:

This project would eliminate the potential hazards to health and safety created by surface runoff.

While not a traditional community facility a Storm Drainage Master Plan would be an important aid in planning community facilities.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Summer Creek Bridge Improvement</th>
<th>CODE</th>
<th>OF/PWK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT:</td>
<td>5407</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION:</td>
<td>DeKoven Dr.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUP ALLOCATION:</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESCRIPTION:

Replacement of the bridge and reconstruction of the road 200 ft. to the north and south.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: MDC

EST. COST $64,000 SOURCE OF FUNDS Fed.-State-City 15%

PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY None

EST. COST $ N/A SOURCE OF FUNDS N/A

DEVELOPMENT BY: MDC/Contractors

EST. COST $330,000 SOURCE OF FUNDS Fed.-State-City 15%

APPROPRIATIONS None EXPENDITURES None

ANALYSIS:

This project will improve vehicular circulation. It is important in relation to the Riverfront and the Central Business District.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Summer Creek Bridge Street Improvement to Silver St.</th>
<th>CODE</th>
<th>CF/FWK 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT</td>
<td>5407</td>
<td>LOCATION</td>
<td>GROUP ALLOCATION</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESCRIPTION:

This project is for repaving and curbing.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: MDC/Public Works/Consultants

EST. COST $87,000 SOURCE OF FUNDS Unknown

PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY None:

EST. COST $N/A SOURCE OF FUNDS N/A

DEVELOPMENT BY: MDC/Public Works/Contractors

EST. COST $573,000 SOURCE OF FUNDS Unknown

APPROPRIATIONS None EXPENDITURES None

ANALYSIS:

This project will improve vehicular circulation. It is important to neighborhood development and linking the CBD with the Post Office.
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NAME Tennis Courts
CODE 

CENSUS TRACT: LOCATION At Wesley and Moody Schools GROUP ALLOCATION: 2

DESCRIPTION:

Provide more tennis courts. The Allen Report (1964) recommended tennis courts at Moody. There should also be courts at Wesley.

The popularity of tennis and use of existing facilities accounts for the need.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: Park and Recreation

EST. COST $N/A SOURCE OF FUNDS City Funds/City Staff

PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY None

EST. COST $N/A SOURCE OF FUNDS N/A

DEVELOPMENT BY: Unknown

EST. COST $Unknown SOURCE OF FUNDS Unknown

APPROPRIATIONS None EXPENDITURES None

ANALYSIS:

This project would promote the better utilization of existing community facilities. There are no tennis courts in the census tracts where these schools are located.
1978
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NAME: Veterans Memorial Park Development  
CODE #: CF/REC 44

CENSUS TRACT: 9407  LOCATION: Jackson St.  GROUP ALLOCATION: 2

DESCRIPTION:
Eliminate the zoo area and to enlarge the open area.
This park is in the only intown park and has good access. It should always be maintained optimum condition.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: Park and Recreation

EST. COST $ N/A  SOURCE OF FUNDS City Staff
PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY: None

EST. COST $ N/A  SOURCE OF FUNDS N/A
DEVELOPMENT BY: Park and Recreation

EST. COST $ Unknown  SOURCE OF FUNDS Unknown
APPROPRIATIONS None  EXPENDITURES None

ANALYSIS:
Palmer Field area is a valuable community asset with a potential of becoming into a major state and regional recreational facility. A detailed plan should be developed which takes into consideration all of the City's recreational needs.

The project would maintain and better utilize an existing asset.
**PROPOSED COMMUNITY FACILITIES**  
**MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Welfare Department - Interview Space</th>
<th>CODE #</th>
<th>CF/MIS 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT:</td>
<td>5401</td>
<td>LOCATION</td>
<td>Municipal Bldg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUP ALLOCATION:</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DESCRIPTION:**
To improve working conditions and to provide services and financial assistance mandated by Federal court order and the administration of General Assistance Manual.

Space is needed to provide confidentiality during the interviewing process. Refer to General Assistance Manual to explain nature of job tasks.

**PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY:** Welfare Director

**EST. COST $** None  
**SOURCE OF FUNDS** Director

**PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY** None

**DEVELOPMENT BY:** Welfare

**EST. COST $** None  
**SOURCE OF FUNDS** None

**APPROPRIATIONS** None  
**EXPENDITURES** None

**ANALYSIS:**
This project should be merged with the Municipal Building Expansion. Planning for the Municipal Building should take into account the Welfare Dept.'s need.
PROPOSED COMMUNITY FACILITIES
MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT

NAME: Westfield Falls Development
CODE #: CF/REC 48
CENSUS TRACT: 5403
LOCATION: Main Street
GROUP ALLOCATION: 2

DESCRIPTION:
Develop a recreation area at the park. It should be used for adult activities because of the danger of the incline at the falls.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: Park and Recreation

EST. COST $ N/A
SOURCE OF FUNDS City Funds

PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY None

EST. COST $ N/A
SOURCE OF FUNDS N/A

DEVELOPMENT BY: Park and Recreation

EST. COST $ Unknown
SOURCE OF FUNDS Unknown

APPROPRIATIONS None
EXPENDITURES None

ANALYSIS:
This project must be coordinated with Middletown's other recreational needs. It would maintain and better utilize an existing asset.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Westfield Water Line Development</th>
<th>CODE</th>
<th>#CF/WTR 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT</td>
<td>5403</td>
<td>LOCATION</td>
<td>Higby, Country Club Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUP ALLOCATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DESCRIPTION:**

To make City water available to problem area and new development where water does not meet public health standards.

**PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY:** Water and Sewer

**EST. COST** $10,000 **SOURCE OF FUNDS** Bond

**PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY:** Water and Sewer

**EST. COST** $8,000 **SOURCE OF FUNDS** Bond

**DEVELOPMENT BY:** Water and Sewer

**EST. COST** $350,000 **SOURCE OF FUNDS** Bond

**APPROPRIATIONS** $350,000 **EXPENDITURES** $272,408

**ANALYSIS:**

Funds have been committed.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Youth Center</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CODE #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CENSUS TRACT:</td>
<td>LOCATION: Undetermined</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESCRIPTION:
To provide a center for a comprehensive array of Youth Services including, but not limited to, physical, intellectual and social development activities,
Documentation of need is on file at Youth Service Commission.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: YSC/PCC/Architects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST $</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EST. COST $</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>SOURCE OF FUNDS: City owned land</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEVELOPMENT BY:</td>
<td>Contractors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EST. COST $</td>
<td>Varies with site</td>
<td>SOURCE OF FUNDS: Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPROPRIATIONS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>EXPENDITURES: None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANALYSIS:
For several years the Youth Services Commission has proposed a Youth Center. The proposal was defeated in a referendum (Jan. 1977) but the Common Council voted funds for an alternative. Programs similar to those that would take place in a Youth Center are being conducted at Wilson several evenings a week.

The use and reuse of existing facilities must be fully explored before a new community facility is established.
**1978**

**PROPOSED COMMUNITY FACILITIES**

**MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Zoar Pond Development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CODE</td>
<td>#CF/REC 51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CENSUS TRACT:</th>
<th>5409</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION</td>
<td>Randolph Rd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUP ALLOCATION:</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DESCRIPTION:**

The park should be developed for both active and passive recreational uses. Preliminary sketches were developed in 1973-1974 at the request of the Conservation Commission.

**PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY:** Con. Com./ Park and Rec.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>$ None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SOURCE OF FUNDS</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>$ N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SOURCE OF FUNDS</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DEVELOPMENT BY:** Unknown

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST. COST</th>
<th>$ 50,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SOURCE OF FUNDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**APPROPRIATIONS** None

**EXPENDITURES** None

**ANALYSIS:**

The project is part of the need for more developed recreational space in Middletown.

The project would maintain and better utilize an existing asset.
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NAME: Golf Course

CENSUS TRACT: Unknown
LOCATION: Unknown
GROUP ALLOCATION: 4

DESCRIPTION:
Provide facilities for 18-par municipal golf course.
There is no specific proposal.

PROGRAM AND PLANNING BY: Park and Recreation/MDC

EST. COST: $ Unknown
SOURCE OF FUNDS: Unknown
PURCHASE OF LAND OR RIGHT-OF-WAY BY: Unknown

DEVELOPMENT BY: Contractors/Park and Recreation/MDC

EST. COST: $ Unknown
SOURCE OF FUNDS: Unknown

APPROPRIATIONS: None
EXPENDITURES: None

ANALYSIS:
There has been community interest in a golf course since the 1964
Allen Report recommended miniature golfing, a three-par course, and
an 18-par course for Middletown. A golf course should be an important
feature in any community-wide study of local recreation needs.

A golf course has many advantages. Since fees could be charged users,
a golf course would provide revenue to Middletown. Land not suited
for intense development could be used for a golf course. Land could be
easily adapted for other purposes as required by Middletowns growth.

As a public outdoor recreation activity, a golf course could be al-
lowed in an R-1 Zone. A golf course would be a new community facility
in Middletown.
APPENDIX A

GROUP ALLOCATION

1978 COMMUNITY FACILITY PROPOSALS

GROUP 1: UNDER DEVELOPMENT

a. Already in progress or formally approved
   Coginchaug Interceptor Extension
   Combined Sewer Overflow Extension
   Connecticut River Interceptor
   Crystal Lake
   DeKoven Drive Extension
   Denison Road Park Development
   Downriver Park Development
   Elderly Housing
   Filter Plant at Mt. Higby
   High Street Improvements
   Long River Village Rehabilitation
   Low Level Collection System
   Main Street Modernization
   Metro South Sewer
   Municipal Building Expansion
   Riverfront Improvement - Phase I
   Riverfront Improvement - Phase II
   Road Improvement Bond (1974 Bond)
   Sewer Extension - Allison St., Long Hill Rd.
   Sewer Facilities Plan
   Summer Creek Bridge Improvements
   Welfare Dept. Interview Space
   Westfield Water Line Development

b. Mandated by State/Federal jurisdictions

GROUP 2: MAINTENANCE

a. Eliminate proven/obnoxious hazard
b. Replace and obsolete facility, or maintain and better
   utilize an existing one

   c. Reduce operating costs, and result in better service
      in Middletown

City Yard Additions for Traffic Paint Shop
Housing Rehabilitation - Wadsworth St.
Hubbard Property Development
Kennedy Tract - Open Space Development
Fire Station Renovation
Marzalek Field Development
Palmer Field Development
Pameacha Pond Development
Pat Kidney Field Development
Pikes Ravine Development
Public Works Storage & Maintenance Yard
Russell Library Main Building Renovations
Senior Center Wheel Chair Ramp
Summer Creek Improvements to Silver St.
Tennis Courts at Wesley and Moody Schools
Veterans Memorial Park Development
Westfield Falls Development
Zoar Pond Development
GROUP 3: MODIFICATION OF EXISTING FACILITIES
a. Required by community growth to prevent significant reduction in service
b. Eliminate a potential hazard to health and safety, or eliminate a nuisance
   River Road Construction
   Road Maintenance Program (Annual)
   1978 - 1983 Road Improvement Program
   Sewers - Prout Hill Rd. and Sunnyslope Drive
   Storm Drainage Master Plan

GROUP 4: OTHER MUNICIPAL PROJECTS
a. Provide a new facility/asset to the community/improve an existing
   standard of service
b. Facilities that have social, historic, ethnic, or aesthetic value
  c. Increase public convenience/comfort
  d. Benefit Middletown's economic base by increasing property values
     Aerial mapping of City
     City Boundary Monumentation
     Coordinate Network System
     Golf Course
     Handicapped Center
     Historical Museum (State)
     Music Shell
     North End Parking Lot
     Public Works Annex
     Youth Center
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT</th>
<th>PAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aerial Mapping of City</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Boundary Monumentation</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Yard Improvement for Traffic Paint Development</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coginchaug Interceptor Extension</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined Sewer Overflow Correction</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut River Interceptor</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinate Network System</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal Lake Development</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural Center</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeKoven Drive Extension</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denison Road Park Development</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downriver Park Development</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elderly Housing</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter Plant at Mt. Higby</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Station Renovation (Main St.)</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Training Center</td>
<td>29.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf Course</td>
<td>72-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handicapped Center</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Street Improvements</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical Museum (State)</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Rehabilitation-Wadsworth Street</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hubbard Property Development</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennedy Tract Development</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long River Village Rehabilitation</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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This Community Facilities Plan was adopted by the Planning and Zoning Commission at its meeting of April 26, 1978, with an effective date of May 1, 1978.

The following Addenda have been added to either update information and/or add material which is intended to be officially adopted into the Community Facilities Plan at a future date:
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<th>Reason</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
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<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
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